



Annex I: Dissolution Testing and Similarity of Dissolution Profiles

General aspects of dissolution testing as related to bioavailability

During the development of a medicinal product a dissolution test is used as a tool to identify formulation factors that are influencing and may have a crucial effect on the bioavailability of the drug. As soon as the composition and the manufacturing process are defined a dissolution test is used in the quality control of scale-up and of production batches to ensure both batch-to-batch consistency and that the dissolution profiles remain similar to those of pivotal clinical trial batches. Furthermore, in certain instances a dissolution test can be used to waive a bioequivalence study. Therefore, dissolution studies can serve several purposes:-

(a) Testing on product quality:-

- To get information on the test batches used in bioavailability/bioequivalence studies and pivotal clinical studies to support specifications for quality control.
- To be used as a tool in quality control to demonstrate consistency in manufacture.
- To get information on the comparator product used in bioavailability/bioequivalence studies and pivotal clinical studies.

(b) Bioequivalence surrogate inference

- To demonstrate in certain cases similarity between different formulations of an active substance and the reference medicinal product (biowaivers e.g., variations, formulation changes during development and generic medicinal products; see Section 3.2 and Annex III)
- To investigate batch to batch consistency of the products (test and comparator) to be used as basis for the selection of appropriate batches for the in vivo study.

Test methods should be developed product related based on general and/or specific pharmacopoeial requirements. In case those requirements are shown to be unsatisfactory and/or do not reflect the in vivo dissolution (i.e. biorelevance) alternative methods can be considered when justified that these are discriminatory and able to differentiate between batches with acceptable and non-acceptable performance of the product in vivo. Current state-of-the-art information including the interplay of characteristics derived from the BCS classification and the dosage form must always be considered.



Annex I: Dissolution Testing and Similarity of Dissolution Profiles

Sampling time points should be sufficient to obtain meaningful dissolution profiles, and at least every 15 minutes. More frequent sampling during the period of greatest change in the dissolution profile is recommended. For rapidly dissolving products, where complete dissolution is within 30 minutes, generation of an adequate profile by sampling at 5- or 10-minute intervals may be necessary.

If an active substance is considered highly soluble, it is reasonable to expect that it will not cause any bioavailability problems if, in addition, the dosage system is rapidly dissolved in the physiological pH-range and the excipients are known not to affect bioavailability. In contrast, if an active substance is considered to have a limited or low solubility, the rate limiting step for absorption may be dosage form dissolution. This is also the case when excipients are controlling the release and subsequent dissolution of the active substance. In those cases, a variety of test conditions is recommended and adequate sampling should be performed.

Similarity of dissolution profiles

Dissolution profile similarity testing and any conclusions drawn from the results (e.g. justification for a biowaiver) can be considered valid only if the dissolution profile has been satisfactorily characterised using a sufficient number of time points.

For immediate release formulations, further to the guidance above, comparison at 15 min is essential to know if complete dissolution is reached before gastric emptying.

Where more than 85% of the drug is dissolved within 15 minutes, dissolution profiles may be accepted as similar without further mathematical evaluation.

In case more than 85% is not dissolved at 15 minutes but within 30 minutes, at least three time points are required: the first time point before 15 minutes, the second one at 15 minutes and the third time point when the release is close to 85%.

For modified release products, the advice given in the relevant guidance should be followed.

Dissolution similarity may be determined using the f_2 statistic as follows:



Annex I: Dissolution Testing and Similarity of Dissolution Profiles

$$f_2 = 50 \cdot \log \left[\frac{100}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{t=n} [\bar{R}(t) - \bar{T}(t)]^2}{n}}} \right]$$

In this equation f_2 is the similarity factor, n is the number of time points, $R(t)$ is the mean percent reference drug dissolved at time t after initiation of the study; $T(t)$ is the mean percent test drug dissolved at time t after initiation of the study. For both the reference and test formulations, percent dissolution should be determined.

The evaluation of the similarity factor is based on the following conditions:

- A minimum of three time points (zero excluded)
- The time points should be the same for the two formulations
- Twelve individual values for every time point for each formulation
- Not more than one mean value of > 85% dissolved for any of the formulations.
- The relative standard deviation or coefficient of variation of any product should be less than 20% for the first point and less than 10% from second to last time point.

An f_2 value between 50 and 100 suggests that the two dissolution profiles are similar.

When the f_2 statistic is not suitable, then the similarity may be compared using model-dependent or model-independent methods e.g. by statistical multivariate comparison of the parameters of the Weibull function or the percentage dissolved at different time points.

Alternative methods to the f_2 statistic to demonstrate dissolution similarity are considered acceptable, if statistically valid and satisfactorily justified.

The similarity acceptance limits should be pre-defined and justified and not be greater than a 10% difference. In addition, the dissolution variability of the test and reference product data should also be similar; however, a lower variability of the test product may be acceptable.

Evidence that the statistical software has been validated should also be provided.



Annex I: Dissolution Testing and Similarity of Dissolution Profiles

A clear description and explanation of the steps taken in the application of the procedure should be provided, with appropriate summary tables.